

UU-ITP 29/93  
HU-TFT-93-60  
hep-th/9311102

## ON THE INFRARED LIMIT OF TWO DIMENSIONAL QCD

**Antti J. Niemi** \*

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Uppsala University  
P.O. Box 803, S-75108, Uppsala, Sweden*

and

**Pirjo Pasanen** \*\*

*Research Institute for Theoretical Physics  
P.O. Box 9, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

We study the infrared limit of two dimensional QCD, with massless dynamical Dirac fermions that are in the fundamental representation of the gauge group. We find that the theory reduces to a spin generalization of the Calogero model with an additional magnetic coupling which is of the Pauli type.

---

\* E-mail: NIEMI@RHEA.TEORFYS.UU.SE

\*\* E-mail: PIRJO.PASANEN@HELSINKI.FI

Recently two-dimensional Yang-Mills theories have been extensively discussed, in particular in connection of string theories [1]. The inclusion of matter fields have also been considered [2], in particular in the large- $N$  limit [3, 4]. These investigations have revealed several interesting connections between two dimensional Yang-Mills theories and integrable models [5].

In the present Letter we shall investigate two dimensional QCD with massless Dirac fermions in the fundamental representation of the gauge group  $SU(N)$  for arbitrary  $N$ . We are particularly interested in the infrared weak coupling limit. We shall quantize the fermions in this limit, and by explicitly constructing the Fock states we then obtain an effective one dimensional theory. This effective theory is essentially a spin generalization of the Calogero model, that has been recently investigated in [6, 7]: In addition of the long range Calogero-spin interaction we also have a nearest neighbor spin interaction which resembles the Pauli-type coupling between spin and external magnetic field.

In the hamiltonian approach, the action for the two dimensional QCD with massless dynamical Dirac fermions is

$$S = \int tr \{ E \dot{A}_1 - \frac{1}{2} E^2 + A_0 (\partial \cdot E + ig_2 [A_1, E]) + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi \} \quad (1)$$

The fermions are in the fundamental representation of the gauge group  $SU(N)$ . For the  $\gamma$ -matrices we use the representation  $\gamma^0 = \sigma^1$ ,  $\gamma^1 = i\sigma^2$  and  $\gamma^5 = -\gamma^0\gamma^1$  in terms of the Pauli matrices  $\sigma^i$ . By varying the action with respect to the Lagrange multiplier  $A_0$ , we find the Gauss law constraint

$$\partial_x E_{ab} + ig_2 [A_1, E]_{ab} + g_2 (\psi^\dagger \otimes \psi)_{ba} = 0 \quad (2)$$

with  $E_{ab}$ ,  $(A_1)_{ab}$  and  $(\psi^\dagger \otimes \psi)_{ab}$  traceless matrices.

We take the space to be a cylinder with circumference  $R$ . By proper rescaling of the fields and coupling constant, we expect that in the  $R \rightarrow 0$  limit the theory reduces to an effective one dimensional theory. For this we remind that in a  $D$  dimensional space-time the dimensionalities of the fields and the coupling constant, in terms of a length scale  $L$  are

$$\begin{aligned} [E] &\sim L^{-\frac{D}{2}}, & [A] &\sim L^{\frac{2-D}{2}} \\ [\psi] &\sim L^{\frac{1-D}{2}}, & [g_D] &\sim L^{\frac{D-4}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

If we then Fourier-expand the fields as

$$A(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} \sum_n \mathcal{A}_n(t) \exp\{2\pi i n \frac{x}{R}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} \sum_n \mathcal{E}_n(t) \exp\{2\pi i n \frac{x}{R}\} \\
\psi(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} \sum_n \psi_n(t) \exp\{2\pi i n \frac{x}{R}\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{E}_n$  are traceless hermitean matrices and  $\psi_n$  Dirac spinors, and define a new coupling constant

$$g \equiv g_1 = \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{R}} \tag{5}$$

the Fourier components and the redefined coupling constant  $g$  then have the proper dimensionalities for a one dimensional theory.

In terms of the one dimensional variables, the Gauss law becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
i[\mathcal{A}_o, \mathcal{E}_o] + \psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o + \sum_n (i[\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{E}_{-n}] + \psi_n^\dagger \otimes \psi_{-n}) &= 0 \\
\frac{2\pi i n}{R} \mathcal{E}_n + g \left\{ i[\mathcal{A}_o, \mathcal{E}_n] + i[\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{E}_o] + \psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_n + \psi_n^\dagger \otimes \psi_o + \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{m \neq n} (i[\mathcal{A}_m, \mathcal{E}_{n-m}] + \psi_m^\dagger \otimes \psi_{n-m}) \right\} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and in the double scaling limit

$$R \rightarrow 0 ; \quad g_2 \rightarrow 0 ; \quad \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{R}} = g \text{ (finite)} \tag{7}$$

it reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_n &= 0 \quad (n \neq 0) \\
i[\mathcal{A}_o, \mathcal{E}_o] + \psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o + \sum_n \psi_n^\dagger \otimes \psi_{-n} &= 0 \\
i[\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{E}_o] + \psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_n + \psi_n^\dagger \otimes \psi_o + \sum_{m \neq n} \psi_m^\dagger \otimes \psi_{n-m} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by investigating the corresponding Fourier-mode expansion of the Hamiltonian in (1) we observe that the non-constant modes  $\psi_n$  ( $n \neq 0$ ) receive an effective mass which is *inversely* proportional to  $R$ . In the  $R \rightarrow 0$  limit these modes then decouple from the (massless) constant modes and the hamiltonian simplifies to

$$\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_{o,ab} \mathcal{E}_{o,ba} + g \mathcal{A}_{o,ab} (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \gamma^5 \psi_o)_{ba} \tag{8}$$

and consequently we can reduce the Gauss law further into

$$i[\mathcal{A}_o, \mathcal{E}_o]_{ab} + (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ba} = 0 \tag{9}$$

In order to solve for the Gauss law (9), we first separate it into its off-diagonal part

$$i[\mathcal{A}_o, \mathcal{E}_o]_{ab} + (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ba} = 0 \quad (a \neq b) \quad (10)$$

and diagonal part

$$i[\mathcal{A}_o, \mathcal{E}_o]_{aa} + (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{aa} = 0. \quad (11)$$

We solve for the off-diagonal part by first gauge transforming the constant mode  $\mathcal{A}_o$  into a diagonal matrix

$$\mathcal{A}_o = \text{diag}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N) \quad (12)$$

Since the Gauss law only eliminates small gauge transformations, these diagonal elements are only defined modulo large gauge transformations *i.e.*

$$q_a \sim q_a \mod \left( \frac{2\pi n_a}{gR} \right) \quad (13)$$

where  $n_a$  are integers. Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{A}_o$  is traceless these diagonal elements are subject to the constraint

$$\sum_a q_a = 0 \quad (14)$$

For the constant mode of the electric field we write

$$\mathcal{E}_o = \text{diag}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N) + L \quad (15)$$

where  $L_{ab}$  is off-diagonal and the diagonal elements  $p_a$  satisfy the constraint

$$\sum_a p_a = 0 \quad (16)$$

From (10) we then find for the off-diagonal elements

$$L_{ab} = \frac{i(\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ba}}{(q_a - q_b)} \quad (17)$$

We substitute this into the hamiltonian (8), and summing over the integers  $n_a$  in (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_a p_a^2 + \frac{g^2 R^2}{8} \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{(\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ba} (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ab}}{\sin^2[\frac{1}{2} g R (q_a - q_b)]} + g \sum_a q_a (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \gamma^5 \psi_o)_{aa} \\ &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \sum_a p_a^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{(\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ba} (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ab}}{(q_a - q_b)^2} + g \sum_a q_a (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \gamma^5 \psi_o)_{aa} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This hamiltonian is subject to the second class constraint (14), (16). Notice that as a consequence of (13), in the  $R \rightarrow 0$  limit the periodic variables  $q_a$  become defined on the entire real line.

After diagonalizing  $\mathcal{A}_o$ , the diagonal part of the Gauss law (11) reduces to

$$(\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{aa} = 0 \quad (19)$$

In order to solve for this, we introduce the chiral components of the Dirac spinor

$$\psi_{oa} = \begin{pmatrix} u_a \\ v_a \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

We then second quantize these components with the nonvanishing anticommutators

$$[\mathbf{u}_a^\dagger, \mathbf{u}_a]_+ = [\mathbf{v}_a^\dagger, \mathbf{v}_a]_+ = 1 \quad (21)$$

and define the corresponding Fock states  $|n_a^u, n_a^v\rangle$  with  $n_a^u, n_a^v = \pm 1$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_a | +_a, n_a^v \rangle &= | -_a, n_a^v \rangle, & \mathbf{u}_a | -_a, n_a^v \rangle &= 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_a^\dagger | -_a, n_a^v \rangle &= | +_a, n_a^v \rangle, & \mathbf{u}_a^\dagger | +_a, n_a^v \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

so that

$$[\mathbf{u}_a^\dagger, \mathbf{u}_a] | \pm_a, n_a^v \rangle = \pm | \pm_a, n_a^v \rangle \quad (23)$$

and similarly for  $\mathbf{v}_a$  and  $\mathbf{v}_a^\dagger$ . We then introduce a representation of the  $SU(N)$  Lie algebra such that elements  $H_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ ) in the Cartan subalgebra become  $(H_i)_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{il} - \delta_{i+1,k}\delta_{i+1,l}$ . In this representation the fermion bilinear in (9) can be represented as

$$\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 - \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 & \psi_1^\dagger \psi_2 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \psi_2^\dagger \psi_1 & -\psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 + 2\psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 - \psi_3^\dagger \psi_3 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -\psi_{N-1}^\dagger \psi_{N-1} + \psi_N^\dagger \psi_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

In particular, for second quantized fermions the diagonal elements in (24) can be rearranged in the normal ordered form

$$(\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{aa} = \frac{1}{2} [\psi_{oa}^\dagger, \psi_{oa}] \quad (25)$$

without additional  $c$ -number terms. For the physical states the condition

$$\frac{1}{2} [\psi_{oa}^\dagger, \psi_{oa}] | \text{physical} \rangle = 0 \quad (26)$$

then reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
n_1^u + n_1^v &= n_2^u + n_2^v \\
2(n_a^u + n_a^v) - (n_{a-1}^u + n_{a-1}^v) - (n_{a+1}^u + n_{a+1}^v) &= 0 \quad (a = 2, \dots, N-1) \\
n_{N-1}^u + n_{N-1}^v &= n_N^u + n_N^v
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The solutions to these equations fall into two different categories: In the first category which we call an alternating phase, there are  $2^N$  states defined by the condition

$$n_a^u + n_a^v = 0 \tag{28}$$

for each  $a$ . In the second category which we call an ordered phase, there are only two states. One of them is defined by

$$n_a^u = n_a^v = +1 \tag{29}$$

and the other by

$$n_a^u = n_a^v = -1 \tag{30}$$

for all  $a$ . In the alternating phase, for each  $a$  the states in the fermionic Hilbert-space are either of the form  $|+, -\rangle_a$  or of the form  $|-, +\rangle_a$ , *i.e.* there is an equal number of  $\pm$  configurations. In the ordered phase we have only either  $|+, +\rangle_a$  or  $|-, -\rangle_a$  (*i.e.*  $+$  or  $-$ ) configurations. Consequently alternating states differ from ordered states by a rearrangement of  $N$  fermions, and in the large- $N$  limit the Hilbert-space decouples into three subspaces, one describing the  $2^N$  dimensional alternating phase and the two others describing the one dimensional (in terms of the fermion degrees of freedom) ordered phases  $+$  and  $-$ . For this reason, in the following we shall consider the restrictions of the hamiltonian either to the alternating or to the ordered subspaces, also for finite values of  $N$ .

In the ordered phases the dynamics is trivial: the hamiltonian (8) simply reduces to the free hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_a p_a^2 \tag{31}$$

In the alternating phase, the physical states are linear combinations of the form

$$|\text{physical}\rangle = \sum_{n_a=\pm} \Phi_{n_1 \dots n_N}(q) \bigotimes_{a=1}^N |n_a, -n_a\rangle \tag{32}$$

In this Hilbert-space we can further simplify the Hamiltonian (18). For this, we introduce the following operators

$$\begin{aligned}
H_a &= \frac{1}{2}(u_a^\dagger u_a - v_a^\dagger v_a) \\
E_a^+ &= u_a^\dagger v_a \\
E_a^- &= v_a^\dagger u_a \\
C_a &= \frac{1}{2}(u_a^\dagger u_a + v_a^\dagger v_a)
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

These operators act only on the  $a^{\text{th}}$  fermionic states and satisfy the SU(2) Lie-algebra commutation relations

$$[H_a, E_b^\pm] = \pm \delta_{ab} E_a^\pm \quad [E_a^+, E_b^-] = 2\delta_{ab} H_a. \tag{34}$$

and the Casimir operators  $C_a$  are proportional to the unit operator,

$$C_a = \frac{1}{2} \cdot I_a \tag{35}$$

In particular, we can identify (34) as the *fundamental* representation of SU(2). For generators in the *fundamental* representation the permutation operator  $P_{ab}$  for spins at sites  $a$  and  $b$  can be expressed as

$$P_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} I_a I_b + 2H_a H_b + E_a^+ E_b^- + E_a^- E_b^+ \tag{36}$$

and if we define normal ordering in the second term of (18) by

$$: (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ba} (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \psi_o)_{ab} : = (\mathbf{u}^\dagger \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}^\dagger \mathbf{v})_{ba} (\mathbf{u}^\dagger \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}^\dagger \mathbf{v})_{ab} \quad (a \neq b) \tag{37}$$

we can rewrite this second term as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b}^N \frac{1}{(q_a - q_b)^2} \{ C_b I_a + C_a I_b - 2C_b C_a - 2H_a H_b - E_b^+ E_a^- - E_b^- E_a^+ \} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b}^N \frac{(1 - P_{ab})}{(q_a - q_b)^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Similarly, by using the representation (33) we can also simplify the third term in the hamiltonian (18) into

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = g \sum_a q_a (\psi_o^\dagger \otimes \gamma^5 \psi_o)_{aa} = 2g \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (q_a - q_{a+1}) (H_a - H_{a+1}) \tag{39}$$

Our final hamiltonian in the alternating phase is then

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a^N p_a^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b}^N \frac{1 - P_{ab}}{(q_a - q_b)^2} + 2g \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (q_a - q_{a+1})(H_a - H_{a+1}) \quad (40)$$

In the  $g \rightarrow 0$  limit this hamiltonian reduces to the integrable long range spin interaction hamiltonian discussed in [6, 7]. We have tried to show that our hamiltonian is also integrable by using the methods developed [6, 7, 8]. However, due to complications that are caused by the *linear* Pauli-type term we have not yet succeeded in establishing whether (40) indeed is integrable. There are nevertheless a number of interesting, simple properties that we wish to record:

The following two spin states can be viewed as ground state spin configurations of (40),

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{vac}\rangle_{+-} &= \dots |+-\rangle |+-\rangle |+-\rangle \dots \\ |\text{vac}\rangle_{-+} &= \dots |-+\rangle |-+\rangle |-+\rangle \dots \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In particular, the second and third terms in (40) both vanish on these states. Consequently the ground state of (40) appears degenerate, in analogy with *e.g.* the degeneracy of the Ising model ground state in the magnetic phase. For finite  $N$  we have finite energy domain wall configurations which interpolate between these two vacuum states, for example

$$\dots |+-\rangle |+-\rangle |+-\rangle |-+\rangle |-+\rangle |-+\rangle \dots \quad (42)$$

is a domain wall configuration which interpolates from  $|\text{vac}\rangle_{+-}$  to  $|\text{vac}\rangle_{-+}$ . As  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the energy of these domain walls diverges, and we obtain two disjoint Hilbert-spaces. To see this, we estimate the domain wall energy as follows: By translation invariance, it is sufficient to consider a linear chain with the spin  $q_a$  at the position  $n_a \cdot \Delta$  ( $n_a = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) with a domain wall located at the origin. The energy of the domain wall can then be estimated from the second term in (40), by observing that due to the spin permutation operator there is a contribution only from terms that connect different sides of the origin. By direct substitution we find that the energy of the domain wall diverges for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E \sim \sum_{q_a q_b < 0} \frac{1}{(q_a - q_b)^2} \sim \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^N \frac{1}{(n+m)^2} \sim \ln N \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \infty \quad (43)$$

The hamiltonian (40) also admits a bosonized form. For this, we first represent the  $\text{SU}(2)$  generators classically: The coadjoint orbit of  $\text{SU}(2)$  coincides with the Riemann



sphere  $S^2$ . With coordinates  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  and Poisson bracket

$$\{z, \bar{z}\} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + |z|^2)^2 \quad (44)$$

the  $SU(2)$  generators are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 + |z|^2} \\ \sigma_+ &= \frac{\bar{z}}{1 + |z|^2} \\ \sigma_- &= \frac{z}{1 + |z|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and the bosonized version of the action is obtained by simply replacing for each  $a$  the  $SU(2)$  generators in (40) by (45), and then quantizing each canonical pair  $z_a, \bar{z}_a$  using the symplectic structure (44). Such a bosonized realization of (40) could be valuable in the investigation of its integrability. It could also be useful more generally, in the investigation of bosonized two dimensional QCD.

In conclusion, we have investigated two dimensional QCD in the infrared weak coupling limit (7). We have found that with Dirac fermions in the fundamental representation of  $SU(N)$  we obtain an integrable spin generalization of the Calogero model with an additional Pauli type magnetic interaction term. We do expect that our model is integrable, however we have not yet been able to establish this.

We have also discussed some simple properties of our model. In particular, we have found some qualitative differences between the finite  $N$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  cases: For  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the ordered states (29), (30) decouple from the spectrum, and in addition the domain walls connecting the two different vacuum configurations (41) receive an infinite energy. In future publications we hope to report on the consequences of these effects.

We are indebted to L. Faddeev and A. Polychronakos for very useful discussions. We also wish to thank N. Nekrasov and K. Palo for their helpful comments.

## References

- [1] D. J. Gross, W. Taylor. *hep-th* 9311072 and *Nucl.Phys.***B400**(1993)181 (*hep-th* 9301068).

- [2] E. Langmann, G. W. Semenoff. *Phys.Lett.***B296** (1992)117 (hep-th 9210011).
- [3] G. Bhanot, K. Demeterfi, I. R. Klebanov. hep-th 9307111.
- [4] J. A. Minahan, A. P. Polychronakos. *Phys.Lett.***B312**(1993) 155 (hep-th 9303153) and hep-th 9309044.
- [5] A. Gorsky, N. Nekrasov. hep-th 9304047.
- [6] D. Bernard, M. Gaudin, F.D.M. Haldane, V. Pasquier. hep-th 9301084.
- [7] A. P. Polychronakos. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 703.
- [8] L. Brink, T. H. Hansson, M. Vasiliev. *Phys. Lett.* **B286** (1992) 109.